ORIGINAL

GLADSTONE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING - November 19, 2018

CITY OF GLADSTONE, MISSOURI

CROSS REPORTING SERVICE

Certified Court Reporters

(816) 252-8883

306 S.E. 291 Highway - Suite 5
Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063
Fax (816) 252-7044
Toll Free (877) 252-8883
www.kansascity-courtreporter.com
crossreporting@sbcglobal.net

1	
2	
3	GLADSTONE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING
4	
5	Monday November 19, 2018
6	
7	Appearances:
8	Chase Cookson, Commissioner
9	Mike Ebenroth, Commissioner
10	Alicia Hommon, Commissioner
11	Gary Markenson, Commissioner
12	Jennifer McGee, Commissioner
13	Katie Middleton, Commissioner
14	Kim Murch, Commissioner
15	Shari Poindexter, Commissioner
16	Bill Turnage, Commissioner
17	Larry Whitton, Commissioner
18	Don Ward, Chairman
19	
20	NICOLE M. CALCARA, C.C.R.
21	for CROSS REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
22	
23	
24	ORIGINAL
25	ONIONAL
	I -

```
1
              MR. CHAIRMAN:
                             I'm going to call the Planning
 2
     Commission for Monday, November 19th, to order.
 3
              (Roll call.)
              (Pledge of Allegiance.)
 4
              MR. CHAIRMAN: Approval of previous minutes from
 5
    November 5th. Entertain a motion.
 6
              (Motion made, seconded, and carried.)
 7
              MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Next on the agenda is other
 8
 9
    business.
10
              CITY PLANNER: No other business tonight.
11
              MR. CHAIRMAN: Before we go on to the next item on
12
     the agenda, I have a little statement here to read real
13
     quick. Everyone desiring to speak regarding the application
14
    will be given the opportunity to be heard tonight.
    comments should be regarding the application specifically, if
15
16
    you have any questions regarding the application, ask your
17
    questions to the commission and I will ask either the
18
     applicant or the staff to respond to your question after you
19
    have had the opportunity to speak. Order of business will be
20
                  There will be a presentation by City staff.
    as follows:
21
    After that presentation there will be an opportunity for the
22
    Commission to ask questions for clarification, then we'll
23
    move forward with public comment. Those wishing to speak in
24
    opposition to the application will be heard first.
25
    those wishing to speak in favor of the application will be
```

heard second. We ask that comments be limited to around three minutes. And if you have the same thing as everybody else has said, you can come to the podium here, state your name and address, and say you have the same issues as everybody else. And after we've heard the public comments, we will conduct Commission discussion and potential action. Staff, anything else to add to that?

CITY PLANNER: That's good.

MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. Onto Agenda No. 5, we have a public hearing for a site revision 607 Northeast 69th Street file 2018-015. I will open the public hearing.

CITY PLANNER: The first applicant tonight is Kim
Catalano who is requesting a site plan revision for the
purpose of an expansion to their Gladstone FOODS operation by
adding a new building with one additional loading dock, new
paved and parking lot configuration and a side driveway to a
new screened trash enclosure. Their plan is to use the
existing wall, new concrete block to construct this new
addition. There will be additional landscaping such as
shrubs and trees added on the north and west side of the
property. These proposed improvements do not increase
impervious area or run-off, therefore, no storm water
improvements are required nor recommended. City staff
recommends that the planning commission approve this site
plan revision contingent upon compliance with the recommended

```
Number 1, all exterior existing lighting shall
 1
     conditions.
     be converted to LED. Dumpster enclosure shall be constructed
 2
     with materials in colors consistent with primary building,
 3
     specific colors shall be submitted and approved as part of
 4
     the building permit. Trash service deliveries and
 5
     distribution shall be scheduled between the hours of 7:00
 6
     a.m. and 10:00 p.m. Any and all disturbed areas shall be
 7
 8
     sodded. All landscaped areas shall be maintained in
     perpetuity. All mechanical equipment on the roof shall be
 9
     screened from public view by a parapet similar in design to
10
     the rest of the structure. This must be a minimum of 12
11
     inches above the tallest piece of mechanical equipment.
12
13
     Thank you and that is all, Mr. Chairman.
14
              MR. CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?
              CITY PLANNER: The applicant is here, yes, and the
15
16
     engineers.
              MS. CATALANO: Kim Catalano, 607 Northeast 69th
17
18
     Street.
19
              MR. CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions for the
20
     applicant? So you guys are just putting an addition onto the
21
     front of the building that is currently there?
              MS. CATALANO: Correct.
22
23
              MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there anybody in the
24
     audience that wishes to speak in opposition to this
     applicant? Is there anybody in the audience that wishes to
25
```

speak in favor? Okay. I will close the public hearing is there any comments from commissioners, discussion?

MR. TURNAGE: I worked at this establishment when it first started back in '59, I guess when I was 14, 15 years old. I've been friends with them for a long time, they run a tight ship. I don't know if you've tried their product. But it is terrific products. It is taco shells. It always looks nice, well in appearance, and they are great people. They've employed a ton of people in Gladstone over the last few years.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion?

(Motion made, seconded, and carried.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Next on the agenda is a public hearing for site revision 6221 North Chestnut Avenue, File 2018-016. I will open the public hearing.

CITY PLANNER: The applicant 3F30 architects is requesting a site plan revision on behalf of Mr. Charles Cuda OPES commercial real estate for the purpose of constructing a convenience store and gas station at 6221 North Chestnut Avenue, formerly known as Tanner's restaurant. Their plan is to significantly remodel the building, bring the structure up to code and retrofit the property using a combination of EIFS, stone, artificial stone and a medal awning for the exterior. The site plan shows additional trees and landscaping being planted on the eastern side of the property

1	located directly behind the proposed convenience store and
2	gas station helping create the required 38 feet buffer zone
3	between the subject commercial and residential properties.
4	There is also proposed landscaping on the western side of the
5	property near the planned monument sign and green space
6	between the two egress and ingress access points off of
7	Chestnut. City staff recommends that the trees and shrubs
8	being planted on the property be native to Missouri by nature
9	in an effort to aid in better street scape and survival.
10	Regarding the aesthetics of the proposed structure, two
11	primary guiding principals are described in the City's
12	Comprehensive Plan. The plan states the City should promote
13	compatible growth, ensure the design work is the compatible
14	to the character of the community, promote quality
15	development and improve area appearance. In the BMP analysis
16	conducted by Kaw Valley Engineering, run-off drains toward
17	the southwest corner and a bio-swale will be implemented to
18	capture and treat run-off from the fueling area prior to
19	run-off exiting the site. Included in the planning
20	commission packet is a letter from World Fuel Services
21	describing the experience of Amir Nadeem Mehndi, who will be
22	the store operator for the proposed gas and convenience
23	store. Staff has also included a retail mystery shop score
24	for the proposed operator for their various other locations.
25	There are residents in adjacent neighborhoods located to the

east of subject property that are opposed to this particular
project. The community development department has received
multiple phone calls and a signed letter included in your
packet from the Woodlands HOA board in opposition. City
staff recommends that the following conditions be considered
if the Planning Commission and City Council choose to approve
this project request. Number 1, any and all disturbed areas
shall be sodded. Number 2, all manicured grass and
landscaped areas that'll be irrigated and maintained in
perpetuity. Number 3, all mechanical equipment on the roof
shall be screened from public view by a parapet or approved
screening similar in design to the rest of the structure.
This must be a minimum of 12 inches above the tallest piece
of mechanical equipment. Number 4, a compliant monument sign
shall be used to serve the development. The monument sign
will need a minimum of 240 square feet of area landscaping
around the sign. Number 5, relocation of the trash dumpster
to the northwest corner of the parking lot away from the
residential area adjacent to the property. Number 6, all
exterior lighting on the site shall be LED and designed to
reduce adverse impact on adjoining residential properties.
Number 7, outdoor lighting cannot be greater than 0.25 foot
candles and 10 feet beyond the property line. Number 8,
dumpster shall be enclosed with materials consistent with a
primary building. Specific colors shall be submitted and

approved as part of the building permit. Number 9, trash service, store deliveries and gasoline refilling (underground commercial gasoline tanks) shall occur between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. Number 10, tractor/trailers, storage containers and other commercial vehicles excluding delivery trucks shall not be parked or stored overnight on the premises. Number 11, no more than 50 percent of the glazed area of the building shall have signage. Number 12, hours of operation are from 5:00 a.m. through 2:00 a.m. store will be closed from 2:00 a.m through 5:00 a.m., Monday through Sunday. Number 13, signage compliant with the sign code shall be used. Signage shall be approved at the time of permitting. Thank you, and that is all, Mr. Chairman.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any questions for staff?

COMMISSIONER: To begin with, could you be kind enough to give us a broader picture of those four buildings that are on that block? I just did a drive-by and it looked like three of the four buildings were abandoned.

CITY PLANNER: Sure, one of the old buildings used to be Lawson Bank. Currently that is vacant and we've been hearing rumblings that it might be a doctor's office eventually. And then the old Tanner's property obviously is vacant. And then I'm trying to think of the other two properties. QuikTrip.

COMMISSIONER: It was going to be a laundromat but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	now it's on hold, I think.
2	CITY PLANNER: Sure. The owner of that development
3	has recently bought another property in Independence and is
4	working on that. His plans are to still develop a laundromat
5	in that location sometime early next year.
6	COMMISSIONER: I have a couple of others. Delivery
7	trucks can park there overnight?
8	CITY PLANNER: Delivery trucks cannot park there
9	overnight.
10	COMMISSIONER: Okay. No. 10
11	CITY PLANNER: Excluding I apologize.
12	COMMISSIONER: Should that be including? You see
13	where I am on 10?
14	CITY PLANNER: Including, yes, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER: Should be including, so any motion we
16	make can I just make a motion to change the word excluding
17	to the word including, number 10 of the recommended
18	conditions, if we could get that out of the way. And if I
19	could if I can move that we, condition No. 10, delete the
20	word excluding and replace it with the word including.
21	I don't see much in here on the screening between the
22	properties to the east and the
23	CITY PLANNER: Between residential and commercial.
24	COMMISSIONER: Yeah. Right now there is a fence and
25	it is deteriorated, it's dilapidated. There is a five foot

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for staff? Does the applicant wish to come up and speak?

MR. RUOFF: Good evening. My name is Brian Ruoff. I'm with 3F30 Architects. I'm representing the developer, Chuck Cuda, who is behind me. I'm going to make this very brief, because I think everybody understands the project in its entirety, we are agreeable to the entire list with the one exception is we are not -- we have not confirmed the structural capability of the tall parapets on this building yet. So we are agreeable to screen. We just don't know exactly how that is going to occur. We have the option of parapet walls, but then we get snow drifting issues and without having a full structural analysis of the roof structure at this point in time I don't know what I can do. We are trying to get the front up as far as we can because it is a new wall. The whole front wall is going to be new because I don't know if everybody understands, we are taking 20 some feet off the existing building and rebuilding that entire front face for store front. So with that said, the other options would be hats on the rooftop units, other options that may or may not be the best option we have available. So I would promote a little more landscaping or strategic placement of trees for that one line item. Going backwards slightly we have tried our best to get the 35 feet of landscaping between the residential and the commercial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

property. Because of the existing building location it's not 1 going to be 35 feet in all places, but wherever it can be 35 2 feet, it will be 35 feet. We would like to maximize the tree 3 plantings in that area because that is going to be the most 4 benefit above the fence line, is trees. So I think that 5 would be recommended. We do have some landscaping going into 6 the front that was mentioned and a small retention pond, 7 filtering pond is going to be at the very front of the 8 property on the west side. And we've increased the 9 landscaping around the monument side that would be in the 10 southwest corner. I do have a color rendering if anybody 11 wants to see it over here that might be a little more visual 12 for everybody to look at. Other than that, we are available 13 for questions and comments. 14 MR. CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant? 15

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any questions for the applicant?

COMMISSIONER: Is this going to be a gas station slash convenience store?

MR. RUOFF: It is going to be a gas station -- it is convenience store -- it is going to have convenience store, going to have liquor and a small restaurant. The restaurant is a little bit up in the air. That may not occur. But it is probably going to be a carry-out restaurant. It is greatly simplified from what is there now, if anybody has been in this the original Tanner's. The kitchen is huge.

And all that has to come out. There is a huge walk-in, there

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is a huge hood. All of that has to be removed. 1 2 COMMISSIONER: Hours are going to be from 5 to 2? It is. We are agreeable to what staff 3 MR. RUOFF: put in the report. 4 CITY PLANNER: We were suggesting between 2:00 a.m 5 and 5:00 a.m. that they would close down. 6 7 MR. RUOFF: And the owner, developer and operator are agreeable to those hours. I've done many, many 8 9 convenience stores in the past over the years. I used to work, do stores for Phillips and Conoco and that sort of 10 thing. The petroleum part of this is going to be I think 11 very well laid out. It is going to be smooth and it is going 12 to operate as it should. From a convenience store 13 14 standpoint, that is an operational question. But it is a 4,000, 5,000 square foot building. So it should be 15 comfortable and have quite a bit of amenities on the inside. 16 No drive-through. I think drive-through was a concern with 17 the neighborhood. Because of the landscaping we are 18 completely landscaping the east side of this property so 19 20 there will be no drive-through. We are amiable to moving the

21

22

23

24

25

trash enclosures to the McDonald's side of the lot. I think

McDonald's is 24 hours, you know, in operation but they have

an easement that runs through that property so it is trash

enclosure will be between their easement and where the bank

property is. We are amiable. We are going to completely

relocate the trash enclosure. So we are basically agreeable to the entire list of conditions.

COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry I have so many questions. Can you tell me a little bit more about the screening, the trees you are going to plant on the east side of the building? I'm still a little hazy on what you are doing there. Wrong person again? I'll get the right person if I keep asking the question.

MR. RUOFF: Well, right now it is a very preliminary landscape plan. But we are proposing a lot more trees than shrubs, because the trees are going to be beneficial. this is the property as it is now. And the building extends out to here. We are taking off this part of the building. We are going to rebuild the front. This is all going to be the existing building, structurally, you know, everything inside here has to be dealt with, panels, panel room, all that has to stay because that is the only way to meet the budget on the project. We are proposing anything back here that is not part of the building or the sidewalk system would be removed and landscaped. So we can plant plants here, but the neighborhood won't benefit from the plants we are willing to do that. But the trees are going to be the biggest benefits for the houses for the neighborhood. So this is our -- this is our solution to the screening on the back side of the building, is to get these trees in here. Now what

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I've got is, I've got some medium size and some -- basically I'll tell you exactly what they are right now, it is a Norway maple and Patmore ash. So it is a combination -- we are open to anything in here, but we want to make sure they are selected and placed so we can get the most benefit and that can be done by sightlines and those sort of things. The front end we have to get traffic through here, so we've done this triangular shape around the monument sign that will be landscaped with flowering plants, this is the green space here I have to work with the civil engineer on, because this is going to be held down so water will run through that filter. And there is the trash enclosure location now -- I'm sorry future. And now it's right here. So that is what -we are proposing to move it away.

COMMISSIONER: Where is the gas tanks going to go? MR. RUOFF: Gas tank right now, I've done a bunch of these -- gas tank right here. Okay. From a tanker standpoint, I used to bring actual drivers to these meetings which was always interesting, because they would say, well, I gotta back the truck -- they do the whole scenario of how they get the truck on and off-site. I don't want them to back up if I don't have to. My goal is to get a tanker on and off-site without backing up this site. They are going to probably come in this way and swing around. They've got to come all the way around here. And most tankers drop on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

right. 1 The hoses are on the right side. So that is the ideal situation. But it is kind of tight for doing that. 2 They may want to do another round. It depends if there is 3 4 cars parked here. But they can come through either way. But 5 the idea is to keep the fuel close to the canopy. That is 6 the objective. 7 COMMISSIONER: Just so that I'm clear, you are going to tear out the concrete that is behind the building that is 8 9 all crater, and looks like there has been bombs dropped on it 10 and stuff, you are going to take that out and put in dirt and 11 sod and put the trees on that? MR. RUOFF: It is going to be fully landscaped. 12 Ιt 13 is going to be sod and trees. My preference is sod and 14 trees. What you've seen in your packet is the -- a little 15 short of 35 feet because I was given the 35 foot condition 16 later. This is the 35 foot line right here. This line right 17 here. So we are going to take out to the sidewalk, I have a transformer here there is that shed back here. We are taking 18 19 everything out that we can and landscaping. 20 MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions from the 21 commissioners? 22 COMMISSIONER: There is a Conoco station a couple blocks across the street to the west. Will that continue to 23 24 operate, or are they separate entities unrelated, unrelated?

25

Okay.

1 COMMISSIONER: So you are going to need a variance as far as the set-back on part of that, you don't have 35 3 feet? MR. RUOFF: Well, that is up to staff. 4 CITY PLANNER: No. Since it is CP zoning, the 5 6 planned portion of that gives a little bit of flexibility, so 7 a variance wouldn't be needed. COMMISSIONER: I just wondered if you needed to go 8 to BZA and get a variance. 9 CITY PLANNER: We didn't think that was necessary. 10 MR. RUOFF: We are caught between existing building, 11 we'll do what is required. 12 MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? 13 14 COMMISSIONER: Yeah. I think I know, but can you 15 clarify what kind of access there would be for traffic from 16 that building to the neighborhood, behind there, what roads 17 are back there they would use to get -- I mean, part of the 18 thing is to increase traffic to the neighborhood. How will that increase traffic to the neighborhood? 19 20 MR. RUOFF: The entrance of the neighborhood is several blocks, I don't know what is the street that goes, 21 22 Shady Lane. This is bank property right here on this edge. 23 And so we have no real landscape on that edge on that side 24 available because the parking property line is right on

our -- existing property line.

I just wanted clarification on that. 1 COMMISSIONER: 2 MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER: I'm not sure you are the person for 3 this either, but I was -- the hours of operation, the 4 restriction on them is very unusual. I can't think of 5 anything that we restrict except liquor sales. 6 months ago when we had the laundromat issue we put in 7 restrictions on hours, but the City Council felt that was 8 unwarranted and took them out. So the laundromat can operate 9 10 24 hours a day. But a convenience store that generally operates 24 hours a day would be restricted. Is that a 11 12 problem for you, putting you at a competitive disadvantage with Hy-Vee and QuikTrip and others? 13 MR. RUOFF: Well, because I've done 100 of these 14 15 over 25 years the ownership, that opinion does vary. Most convenience store owners would like to stay open for 24 hours 16 17 for two reasons. One is it does bring a little more revenue, 18 but also it is a security issue, that they want -- they like to have somebody on their site 24 hours a day so they don't 19 20 have other issues. They like a 24-hour operation so that 21 they are watching their stores. COMMISSIONER: It is not a big time for business, 22 23 though, is it? MR. RUOFF: They usually lose money on the sales 24 25 It depends on your relocation and what you are doing. part.

1 But, yes. COMMISSIONER: It is not a problem for the owners, 2 3 is that what you are saying? MR. RUOFF: Well, Chuck, do you want to talk about 4 5 that? MR. CUDA: It is not an issue in this particular 6 7 case. MR. CHAIRMAN: Step up to the mic. State who you 8 are and your address. 9 MR. CUDA: Chuck Cuda, 6051 North Chestnut, 10 Gladstone, Missouri. For this particular instance we felt 11 that we would be amenable to the neighborhood and it would be 12 beneficial to everybody if we operated within these hours, so 13 the owner feels that with this particular demographic he is 14 comfortable with these hours. And to the point about the 15 screening, with the topography differential with the houses 16 sitting lower we could put bushes over there but, we feel the 17 trees provide the better screening. And regarding the fence 18 with the differential of wood that is being used on those, we 19 feel it is each homeowner's fence, it's their particular 20 fence, but we would be amicable to looking at possibly 21 re-screening that back side once we are done with 22 construction. 23 MR. CHAIRMAN: So the fence isn't part of your guys' 24 25 development?

MR. CUDA: It is not part of our development, but we would entertain incurring that cost post-construction.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions for the applicant? Okay. All right, next on is anybody in the audience wishing to speak in opposition, please step to the mic and state your name and address, please.

MS. BAKER: Good evening, Michelle Baker, 108 the Woodlands. Thank you for affording me the opportunity to come and speak before you in lieu of being with my family watching the Chiefs play, which I'm sure right about now you would rather be watching as well. My attendance dance here is twofold. One, for my own personal voice and the second to be the voice for at least 44 residents of the Woodlands neighborhood who have responded that they did not want this site re-planned. We are a small close-knit single access neighborhood of 95 residents. I've already shared with you the residents' comments in writing in the packet. I printed out additional ones that we've received since then if you would like to see those as well. Some of the main issues and concerns that we have are the disruptions due to the proximity to the residents' property in the form but not limited to the hours of operation. I know that you've already touched on it our problem is that 5:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m is really late for our neighborhood. And the noise that will be generated with traffic flow and lighting would be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

detrimental, especially to the six houses that butt right up close to that. The potential of water leakage from underground tanks is a worry and a concern for the neighbors, the large tanker and delivery trucks, the -- if you are familiar with Chestnut, the street of Chestnut itself, it's a small little access. It is right there in front of -- it's only access for UMB Bank, the property at 6221 Chestnut. That's it. Because then it curves around and then you actually have to go back around to get into where Lawson Bank used to be. So for a tanker hauling gasoline to be able to manage that, there is going to be, I would think and the neighbors think, substantial destruction of that street right there. It is not an easy turn. You've got the little boulevard that is the beginning of Antioch right there where it splits across the street from CVS. So you have that entrance. And then another entrance coming in from where Lawson Bank used to be off Antioch. The lighting, once again, is so close to the neighborhood that the lighting would be a distraction to those homes. As well as the noise level. Not to mention the increased air pollution from pumping gas, which the US Energy Information Agency says that it's detrimental as far as green house gasses, carbon dioxide that is emitted. And that's why during high ozone times they say please don't fill up your cars with gas right now. Well, that is right there behind our neighborhood. Sewage and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

water run-off issues and the potential for devaluation of the 1 property due to the proximity. I would encourage the 2 planning committee to not only consider what the closest 3 neighborhood, the Woodlands, wishes with regards to this plan 4 revision but to also think about what is best for the City of 5 Gladstone. Does the City of Gladstone truly need another gas 6 station, slash, convenience store when we have 20 right now 7 8 as far as Google Maps goes and 14 convenience stores, all within the area. Or would it be better for Gladstone to have 9 10 a restaurant Gladstone residents as well as neighboring cities can come and enjoy and frequent? Thank you very much. 11

Thank you. Anybody else in the MR. CHAIRMAN: audience that wishes to speak in opposition?

John McIntosh, 135 the Woodlands, MR. McINTOSH: Gladstone. Chairman Moore, commissioners, I moved here from Los Angeles two years ago and my wife and I, we chose the Woodlands because we enjoyed the wonderful ambience of the trees and everything we live in that was there. And the only thing I just want to add to my fellow neighbor Michelle is that in Los Angeles we had a problem and we brought it up before the City Council, I lived in Whittier, which is a suburb with liquor stores, they had liquor stores on every corner. And so I equate this particular project, a gas station, to the liquor store problem that we had. Why have another gas station when I know we have four in the proximity

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of between a mile and a mile and a half between each of them. We have one on Antioch and Vivion. You have one -- a Conoco on Antioch and Kendallwood. Then you have the gas station at Hy-Vee there. And then across the street there is a Quick Pick there. So my question is, why another gas station? So I equate it to like the liquor stores and what it would do, it would bring unnecessary traffic and later possibly crime into the community we don't need. And so I would just ask you to consider our needs, because there is other things we can probably put there that will be more convenient for the community rather than a gas station. Thank you for your time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody else in the audience that wishes to speak in opposition?

John Chapman, 118 the Woodlands. I MR. CHAPMAN: don't have no problem against commercial development. I've lived in this area since about 1965 from Old Meadowbrook to Brooketree, now the Woodlands. That building has been Red Lobster, Old Chicago pizza, Tanner's, Tommmy's and I think a barbecue place. If these developers want to put in another restaurant, I would be frequenting it. But I'm concerned with pollution issues. I'm concerned with light pollution, air pollution, water pollution and pollution pollution. so I'm against the development.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anybody else in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

audience?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKINNER: Zachary Skinner, 105 the Woodlands. Nothing really to add but to support my neighbors.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MS. THIESSON: Alicia Thiesson, 123 Woodlands. I live in one of the area that is fairly close to that. We have four kids. We moved into this neighborhood a year and a half ago. We knew that there was restaurants back there, there is a bank back there. We were aware of all that. But a gas station we feel like is a completely different topic. Our two-year-old -- sorry. She has health issues. One of them being in her lungs. So it's a concern of ours that we made this investment into a property and into a neighborhood, we felt like our family would be safe and then the issues that you can have from the pollution and things could further cause her additional health issues. We also have a pool in our backyard, which when you add in all of those factors we spend a lot of time outside, and that would be an issue for us along as resale value. We feel like if we were to need to move, it would be very difficult knowing that there is a gas station right there to sell our home, we feel like we would have trouble. Nobody is going to want to buy a house with a pool in the back yard and a gas station within -- from our house it's probably 200 yards or so, but it is still close enough we feel like it would cause issues.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Anybody else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NARCUS: Michelle Narcus, 82 the Woodlands. ourselves just moved to a town home that is in the Woodlands development a year and a half ago. Ditto for all the same issues that have been expressed. Including the property value. I myself don't happen to have a property that is adjacent to that, but I feel that it will definitely not help the value of the homes that do back up to that. Woodlands is a very nice, well kept community and even though it was developed in the early '80s and the traffic there is congested enough at, I guess Shady Lane, whatever turns into there at Antioch, a lot of congestion, it is really hard, difficult to get out. That is just going to make it worse. I would say for some reason if the committee would make the decision that the development is going to go through that I definitely think that the developers and the owners should be responsible for paying for a very large private fence amongst all those properties that are adjacent to that property.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MS. GUYSINGER: Hi there, I'm Donna Guysinger. I live at 102 the Woodlands. I don't back up there, but I'm down the street. And I -- we have been in the neighborhood for 25 years. And when we first moved in here, it was kind of nice to have the little restaurant back there. And it wasn't an issue with noise. And you can hear the noise

currently on Antioch. All the police that go by and the fire 1 trucks, it just seems to like it has increased. However, to 2 have a convenience store and a gas station backed -- I'm 3 going to say 300 feet or 400 feet from your driveway, I can 4 only imagine all the noise that is going to create, not just 5 with big trucks, but with the garbage, you know, the garbage 6 7 quys coming and people putting in all the glass. There used to be a Ripple glass area over there, that was so hard to 8 This is the Woodlands, the homes, 300, 350,000 and up 9 take. So it's not just a small property. And everyone keeps 10 okay? their property up very, very well. We have lots of trees. 11 But even still, with all that, you still hear a lot of noise. 12 And that is a concern. Especially for the people that 13 14 surround the property, if you are sitting in your back yard on a Saturday afternoon with your friends and you hear all 15 the noise going on, I would be totally disturbed about that. 16 It would really ruin the ambience of that -- of the 17 Woodlands. It is a very nice neighborhood. It's quaint and 18 it's small and we like it like that. And I have like really 19 loved it since there haven't been hardly any -- Tanner's is 20 gone and the other building is gone, so it's really nice. It 21 is nice and quiet. And that is the way we like it. And the 22 23 other neighborhood that is by the Woodlands is much smaller 24 but still they are very quiet, lots of trees, they want it 25 that way. And I've talked to several of the homeowners and

they are very concerned also. They are just right down Thank you very much. there.

> MR. CHAIRMAN: Anybody else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CLIMOORE: My name is Joe Climoore and we live in the closest house to the building. We live at 127 the Woodlands. And we are really concerned about the light and the noise. Of course everything that everyone else said about the situation there. But I hadn't really thought until she said about the trucks turning in, it is not a very good place for trucks in and out. So really that is our greatest concern is the noise and the lights. Tanner's was a good neighbor. We -- Tanner's was probably the best. We hardly -- maybe they didn't do any business. I don't know. They were very quiet back there. When the other place was there, they made a lot of noise and bottles over the fence. But Tanner's did very well. Like I say, maybe they didn't do a lot. So thanks.

> MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MS. THIESSON: Berna Thiesson, 123 the Woodlands. My main concern by the health of my child who I already have to take to regular doctor's visits is everyone's health actually within our community. We have a slightly older population within our community. I'm worried about the air quality. I know that although it didn't pass, several BP organizations tried to push through not allowing a gas

station 500 feet on the back line property from residential properties. We are looking at 35 feet. And that is 35 feet of like a quarter of that. So how much is like 25 feet? just keeps getting closer and closer to the properties. So every single time someone is re-fueling, every single time the tankers come in, we are going to smell it in our back yards and smell it in our front yards. And it is not what we signed up for when we moved to this community. It is outrageous. Unfortunately when the gas station is already there, you know what you are getting into. And I think no one signed up for this within this community. So, I mean, in a year or two when my daughter has health issues, who do I submit petitions to that it has been a detriment to her health? That I can't take my daughter in my back yard because I'm worried about water pollution coming in the run-offs and there is not even going to be a fence. It is all about cost. They are trying to put whatever works in there for the lowest amount of cost without really caring what is good for our community. It is just outrageous. Not even affording a fence. We already talked about the fence at the last meeting with him. It is not even in the plan, so obviously he doesn't care what the community wants and he doesn't care about the safety and the health of our community. So just it's kind of crazy, but just the fact that I bought this home to raise my four children in and now

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 we are considering moving out of the community. The second 2 it gets approves we are out. It is just insane. Thank you. 3 MR. CHAIRMAN: Anybody else in the audience? 4 MS. VANDERBERG: Winnie Vanderberg, 45 the 5 Woodlands, also a broker person for Re/Max and I have been 6 for almost 40 years and I have had experience with these sort 7 of projects. And I spoke out at the last meeting. adamantly opposed to this. I think there are far more 8 9 negatives than positives. I've not seen any site studies 10 that give us anything that is going to tell us that it is 11 going to help our property values. I feel our property 12 values are going to be dramatically diminished. Already 13 there are three properties up for sale in the neighborhood. 14 And I feel according to what I'm hearing here there are 15 probably going to be more. So what are we looking at, 10,000 16 20,000, \$30,000 in losses? I moved to the Woodlands as a 17 widow. I work still at Re/Max. I'm on Kendallwood Parkway. 18 I moved there to be safe, to have a quiet place to live and a place to enjoy my seven grandsons. This does not make me 19 20 happy, nor does it make anyone in our neighborhood, the 95 21 homes, happy. I feel that if Mr. Cuda had presented this as 22 a restaurant or some other use that we would be much happier. 23 I don't feel that this is the highest and best use of that 24 space for us as residents there. And I feel if Gladstone 25 causes this entire community to gradually dissolve and lose

I just value, then what does that say about us as a city? would ask for you to consider that. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHAIRMAN: Anybody else in the audience wish to speak in opposition? Is there anybody in the audience -- oh sorry.

My name is Merill Watt. MR. WATT: registered professional engineer. 34 the Woodlands. addition to the comments you've heard from other members of the Woodlands Homeowners Association, I would like to just point out something that concerns me greatly; however, it is not the most important subject that has come up. Health, safety, and welfare happens to be the Number 1 issue in my mind. However, what I would like to learn is if an economic assessment impact study has been made that projects the decreased value, cumulatively, for all properties within the Woodlands Homeowners Association. We've heard from a realtor that has more than 30 years experience who is gravely concerned about a market depreciation of those individual and thus cumulative property values. If, in fact, the assessment bears out that is so, what remediation financial pool is the developer or owner going to offer to offset over a long period of time, a very long period of time, the depreciation in the asset value? Keep in mind that I believe you heard this evening from at least one to possibly two owners that have stated they moved in approximately two years ago. And

with a simple market depreciation of 10 to 12 percent you could be talking well over two million dollars if properly I'm not a certified financial planner. want to point this out. There seems to be no thought for those people who have lived there before this idea came to fruition. And so I would like to see, is there a sinking fund who manages it? How much is it? Because once the decent curb flattens out on the property valuations, it's very difficult to get them back up. Many of you have bought and sold homes and you realize that the appraised value seems to work when you are buying or selling off of what previous sales have been for like and similar properties in the immediate area. So once oppressed, it is very difficult to get them to rise again. This concludes my remarks. Again, health, safety and welfare of people in my perspective is Number 1, and this onerous potential economic depreciation concerns me greatly. Thank you very much for your time and I hope that you oppose this project. consideration. MR. CHAIRMAN: Anybody else in the audience wish to

speak in opposition? Seeing no more, is there anybody in the audience that wishes to speak in favor of the idea? No response.

MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. Is there any discussion by the planning commission?

COMMISSIONER: Can I offer another amendment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. 1 COMMISSIONER: Condition No. 14, the applicant shall 2 3 replace the fence on east side of property with a six foot 4 privacy fence. We've been talking about it and we've not 5 done anything with that. COMMISSIONER: I'll second that motion. 6 7 applicant shall replace the fence on the east side of 8 property with a six-foot privacy fence. And I use the word replace because I think the fence that is there needs to come 9 down before it falls down. 10 11 COMMISSIONER: If the fence is on a property that is 12 owned by someone else, is that legal for us to require it? CITY PLANNER: The fence, if it is on private 13 14 property, not on this property, then they cannot take it 15 They would be damaging private property. They can put down. 16 a secondary fence up on their property. Though, you do have 17 the problem of two fences being next to each other and issues 18 that happen between two fences, that type of thing. But they 19 could not take the other fence down unless the homeowner 20 allowed them to do it. 21 COMMISSIONER: I'll withdraw my motion. 22 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER: So I would like to say that I 24 personally don't have a problem with this but I think that we

25

need to consider all these people who came out to speak

CITY PLANNER: It is a different neighborhood. 1 COMMISSIONER: Isn't it real close in proximity to 2 the Woodlands. 3 CITY PLANNER: Not as close. I don't actually 4 physically have the map, I could not tell you exactly, but it 5 does not appear to be as close. 6 COMMISSIONER: So McDonald's, the bank, not the 7 Lawson, but the other bank and then --8 CITY PLANNER: Then the old QuikTrip and yeah --9 10 Yep. COMMISSIONER: I've heard Tanner's referred to as a 11 restaurant a lot. My personal opinion is that was more of a 12 bar than a restaurant. 13 CITY PLANNER: It was a restaurant/grill. I mean, 14 this -- all the years it has been there from Red Lobster to 15 Tanner's, it has been some form of restaurant/grill bar-type 16 17 thing. They had outdoor seating and that COMMISSIONER: 18 19 wasn't a problem is what I heard? CITY PLANNER: Outdoor seating was actually on the 20 west side of the building. 21 COMMISSIONER: But from a noise perspective, that 22 I mean, all -- any noise or whatever 23 wasn't a problem? should be on the west side of the building in the C store as 24 25 well.

1	PUBLIC MEMBER: They closed at 10 or 11. The hours
2	of their operation were 7 to 9, 7:00 a.m. to 9. But for a
3	CITY PLANNER: She was correct, the hours were I
4	don't remember specifically, but somewhere between 9 and
5	10:00, I believe.
6	COMMISSIONER: That is consistent over time? I
7	remember at one point I believe it's owned by UMB Bank
8	now wasn't there a nightclub there at one time? Maybe
9	that goes back 20 years or so. I guess my next question
LO	would be, who came first, the Woodlands or that building?
.1	CITY PLANNER: Actually the building was there
L2	before the Woodlands was there. The Woodlands developed
L3	later on back in that area.
L4	COMMISSIONER: What is the type of license for the
L5	city? I believe Tommy had a 2:00 liquor license.
L6	CITY PLANNER: I think we are 1:00. Don't hold me,
L7	but I think we are 1:00.
18	COMMISSIONER: But if you build a housing
19	development next to a commercial development, shouldn't you
20	expect some of this stuff and shouldn't that already been in
21	your housing pricing?
22	CITY PLANNER: Current property there has been a
23	restaurant and it has always been a restaurant, never been
24	anything else. A restaurant bar grill restaurant, that type
25	of thing. This is the first time property has ever had a use

1	change since this development.		
2	COMMISSIONER: How long has that property been CP3?		
3	CITY PLANNER: Somewhere back in the '80s, late		
4	'70s. I'm not 100 percent sure when Red Lobster, whenever		
5	Red Lobster was the original.		
6	COMMISSIONER: What are the types of businesses in		
7	general that can go into CP3?		
8	CITY PLANNER: There is a lot. Anywhere from the		
9	convenience store, restaurants basically anything C 1, C 2.		
10	COMMISSIONER: How long have those been vacant, do		
11	you know just about?		
12	CITY PLANNER: The Lawson BANK recently were bought		
13	out by Bank Liberty sometime this year. They moved out of		
14	there. The QuikTrip was last year when it closed up. And		
15	those are the ones that are empty besides Tanner's moved out		
16	maybe two years ago pushing that at the most.		
17	COMMISSIONER: Thank you.		
18	COMMISSIONER: I haven't given up on the privacy		
19	fence.		
20	CITY PLANNER: Mr. Chairman, you do need to close		
21	the public hearing?		
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: We'll close the public hearing.		
23	COMMISSIONER: I wonder if we could consider		
24	wording I'm not making a motion yet that says the		
25	applicant shall build a six-foot privacy fence on the east		

side of the property in addition to the plantings. 1 COMMISSIONER: Contingent upon homeowners. 2 3 COMMISSIONER: No, on the applicant's property, so you are going to have fence on fence, but still going to have 4 a decent screen. And then the property owners can tear down 5 their fence, which I would recommend before it falls. Could 6 that be done? 7 8 CITY PLANNER: I think as long as the developer would agree to that, I believe he stated he would do 9 something along those lines. We could add that as a 10 11 condition, yes. COMMISSIONER: What would we do about growth in 12 between the two fences? Weed and vegetation? 13 CITY PLANNER: That becomes an issue. You could put 14 15 the fences -- I don't know how far their fence is off the property line, that becomes an issue. Ideally you don't want 16 to have two fences because it does cause issues between the 17 two fences for maintenance and whose responsibility it is to 18 maintain those fences. So --19 MR. CHAIRMAN: If something like that was done there 20 needs to be a separation of fences so that there is 21 maintenance that could be done between the two. 22 CITY PLANNER: That would be correct. And depending 23 24 where the current fence is, how much property and who is 25 going to maintain it, that type of thing.

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: If there is a property line, it is
2	three foot from their fence they still have to maintain.
3	Plus okay.
4	COMMISSIONER: Conceivably there could be an
5	agreement with the homeowners association to take their fence
6	down once the new one is built, too, I suppose.
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Is that a condition we could put in,
8	that we have the developer put a fence in and in return the
9	neighborhood's fence
10	COMMISSIONER: We can't require them this would
11	have to be informal, actually sit down and talk about it.
12	CITY PLANNER: What we might be able to do is, if
13	you want, is add the condition that the developer get with
14	the resident that lives there, discuss the fencing. I don't
15	know that we really want to say a fence has to be built by
16	the developer or whatnot. But we can at least ask them to
17	talk and meet and come up and get back to us as to if any
18	agreement may or may not have been made on it, who can use it
19	from which side.
20	COMMISSIONER: Hopefully before it goes to the
21	Council. When will this go to the Council?
22	CITY PLANNER: The earliest they will see this will
23	be December 10th.
24	COMMISSIONER: One more question, just so I
25	understand the procedures, so the people out there understand

24

25

engineer to make sure we have to berm it or control it, we

don't want any water going to the residential, but right now

that water that is landing on that pavement is going to all 1 the -- it is going away from the residential properties 2 completely all the way to the street. So when we put the 3 plantings and such in there, it is going -- the ground water 4 could saturate. But we don't want any storm water running to 5 the east. It is going to be a civil engineering question 6 when it's all over. COMMISSIONER: But that curb you think is going to 8 come out? 9 MR. RUOFF: Yeah, we'll have a new curb in front of 10 11 the landscaping that will be another curb, but that entire site flows to the west. The north side goes up north and the 12 south side goes up south. That is -- we are not changing the 13 14 way water flows. COMMISSIONER: Your pond that is the fancy word, the 15 bayou, whatever, that is kind of in the center of the 16 property there, that is not in the southwest corner and that 17 is where in here someplace I saw was going to be in the 18 19 southwest corner. MR. RUOFF: The petroleum island area is actually in 20 21 the middle -- is kind of flat so we are going to catch most of it into that island. 22 COMMISSIONER: If you built 25 of these, do you see 23 a lot of, call it spilled gasoline or whatever, that ends up 24

in this pond?

MR. RUFF: We are under BMP's, Gladstone is. Kansas City Missouri doesn't enforce but Kansas City Kansas does, it is a metro plan, it is a strategy put together by MARC, Mid-America Regional Council, it is a guideline. The problem with that is it just depends on how you handle certain sites and what the situation is. In my experience is the BMP's are relatively new to most municipalities. They've been working with them for about six, seven, eight years. Some cities don't even use them. But in this situation you are going to have a brand new fuel system. You are going to have all the pressure gauging, all the warning -- you are going to have all the safety situations. All the lines are pressurized all the time. If there is a pressure failure the, alarm goes off, the system shuts down, somebody comes out and looks. The new technology is the highest technology available for that. Now, what the pond is for is when people are putting their gas in and out and they drip. You get a real heavy rain storm that comes through and water will wash through there. That will accumulate that first rain amount, the initial rain fall that catches in that pond and filters it and it keeps the site cleaner. That is what it is really intended for. So but from a spill and leaks and that sort of thing, it is not the same as it was with the steel tanks 30 years ago. With that said, it is not fool proof. describing this the way it is. But any time you get a new

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

gas station that is much better than one that is 30, 40 years 1 ago, it is much more advanced. They have -- there is 2 different ways of doing this, too. I'm not sure what we are 3 going to use. This is a different, you know, different 4 clients use different systems. But it is called tank level 5 sensing system that goes in the tank and it keeps track of 6 the tank. The tank is pressurized. If the tank is losing 7 pressure based on the dispense volume to what is supposed to 8 be in the tank, alarms go off and they'll check. There is a 9 system in the lines that go between the tank and the 10 dispensers and that is pressurized and that has its own alarm 11 and its own sensors and that sort of thing. The boxes 12 underneath the dispensers can be alarmed. They are not 13 required to but they can be. So all these systems are 14 available to make that part safe. This is mostly for rain 15 water. What we are talking about on the front of the site is 16 mostly just get a -- or when they pressure wash to clean it, 17 that would go through the pond and it would air out and 18 clean -- it is not major. It is not significant. But it is 19 still something you want to watch. 20 COMMISSIONER: Are you saying with today's 21 technology, you are like 100 percent that the main storage 22 tanks are never going to leak or not contaminate the ground? 23 MR. RUOFF: I don't think I'm insured to say that, 24

25

but I've worked with -- I did all the corporate stores with

removed, or do they just get to stay in the ground forever? 1 2 CITY PLANNER: By federal quidelines they have to be 3 removed. 4 MR. RUOFF: Yeah, there is a fund, insurance fund 5 State of Missouri has that all these -- not all operators, I think most operators have to pay into it or have some other 6 7 means, the tanks have to be registered with the State and 8 most all of them pay into the super fund, so that super fund 9 would remove those tanks. 10 COMMISSIONER: Does the State or City do any soil 11 testing or whatever ecological testing? 12 CITY PLANNER: We do not. Typically it is not done 13 on a regular basis. I know areas in older areas that have 14 had issues with it do test ports, but we don't do any 15 testing. It is typically done through the EPA or State 16 agency or federal agency. They do test it when tanks come 17 out and check the soil, verify that the soil is clean before 18 they'll backfill.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion?

COMMISSIONER: I just have one comment, from my perspective, as far as when we go to vote and everything, I find it really challenging being comfortable voting a certain way without having a little bit more information from Cuda, what is the architect describing on, we don't know about this, we need to speak with a civil engineer or we don't know

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
a lot about the sightlines on the top of the roof because we
 1
     don't know if the roof is going to be able to support extra
     structures and we don't know about the fence. So just to
 3
     comment before we vote, is that I have a little bit of a
 4
     struggle voting a certain way based on lack of information.
 5
                             I think there has been several
              COMMISSIONER:
 6
 7
    motions made and seconds we've never voted on, but this is
    going to be voted on when we come to the final thing on this,
 8
 9
     I hope you've taken notes and remember what you've made
    motions to and you do it at that time. I myself, I don't
10
     like the idea of 5:00 to 2:00. And it is a liquor store.
11
    And it is a convenience store. Kids are going to be hanging
12
    out there and making a lot of noise. And the trees lose
13
14
     their leaves in the winter. That is not a good buffer. And
    besides that, it doesn't have 35 foot variance between that
15
16
     and residential property.
              CITY PLANNER: Still got to do the first motion that
17
18
    Mr. Markenson made.
              MR. CHAIRMAN: On the first motion was to change
19
20
    Item No. 10 to "including.
21
              COMMISSIONER: Take out the word "excluding" and
     insert in lieu thereof the word "including."
22
              MR. CHAIRMAN: So we had a motion and a second.
23
              CITY PLANNER: Roll call.
24
25
              (Roll call.)
```

1	(Motion carried.)
2	MR. CHAIRMAN: And the other motion was to put an
3	item 14, he retracted that.
4	CITY PLANNER: That is the only motion.
5	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other discussion? Do we
6	feel we have enough information?
7	COMMISSIONER: Would you entertain a motion to place
8	this on the table until the next meeting? Give them an
9	opportunity to work on the fence and some of the other issues
10	that have been mentioned here, before we take a final vote on
11	this? I'm not making that motion.
12	MR. CHAIRMAN: I would entertain it, yeah. To make
13	sure that we get some of the concerns addressed with the
14	fence and some of the other issues that we had.
15	MR. CUDA: Can I address a couple of these concerns?
16	This is money for me.
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: I think we have enough. We need to
18	push it to another meeting.
19	CITY PLANNER: If that is what the planning
20	commission desires, we can continue this until the planning
21	commission meeting on December 3rd.
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: We are going to vote. Is that a roll
23	call?
24	CITY PLANNER: It will be a roll call.
25	MR. CHAIRMAN: I would entertain a motion to suspend

1	this until December 3rd.
2	(Roll call)
3	(Motion carried.)
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: Move this to the December 3rd agenda
5	and try and get some answers to the questions that are still
6	out there about the fence and other items. Okay. Thank you.
7	That concludes that item. Number 7 on the agenda is
8	communications from the City Council.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER: The Mayor's Christmas Tree lighting
10	is tomorrow night, so I hope you all can come to wish
11	everybody a happy Thanksgiving.
12	MR. CHAIRMAN: Next item is communication from city
13	staff. Do you have communication?
14	CITY PLANNER: I just want to thank everybody for
15	being here tonight, I appreciate it. I know the Chiefs and
16	Rams are playing right now I don't know whose winning but
17	hopefully it's Chiefs. Rams are winning. So it looks like
18	we will have the December 3rd planning commission meeting and
19	we will continue this then. That is all I have.
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Next on the agenda is communications
21	from the Planning Commission members.
22	COMMISSIONER: Let's go home.
23	(Adjournment.)
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE 1 2 I, Nicole M. Calcara, Certified Court Reporter, do 3 hereby certify that on November 19, 2018, I was present and reported all of the proceedings in the Gladstone Planning 5 Commission Hearing; I further certify that the foregoing 47 6 pages contain a complete and accurate transcription of the 7 8 proceedings. 10 11 12 13 14 Nicole M. Calcara, Court Reporter 15 16 17 18 19

ORIGINAL

20

21

22

23

24

GLADSTONE PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES Council Chambers November 19, 2018

Respectfully submitted:	
Don Ward, Chair	Approved as corrected
Alan Napoli, Recording Secretary	Approved as submitted